

## Violence Against Women Act Talk Shows, May to July 2010

Biography

Executive Summary

Outline VAWA Critique

Witch hunt

- Feminist wrote
- Biden passed
- Federal government into relationships
- Hundreds millions to Feminist groups

Violates rights two groups Americans

- Americans with foreigners
  - Secret fact findings of abuse
  - Cannot submit evidence of innocence
  - Cannot find out determinations, but third parties can
  - Encourages false police arrests and fraudulent TROs
    - Does not matter found innocent
  - False accusations in FBI and private detective agencies

Americans with Americans

- Power of the purse
  - VAWA requires
    - Predominant aggressor policies
    - No drop prosecutions
  - VAWA helps finance
    - Domestic TROs
      - Mandatory arrest on mere allegation
  - VAWA frees up private money for lobbying and candidates

Stop domestic abuse

- Define
  - Only reach physical violence
- State responsibility
- Individual responsibility
- Government cannot protect from everything or wholesale violation rights

Rights violated

- Free speech
- Due process
- Equal protection
- Choice in family matters
- Probable cause
- Bear arms

Privacy

Why VAWA

- Eliminate marriage
- Believe one and only truth
- Restrict men to pool of American girls
- Easy money

Females need protection

- Females have power, ever deal with crying or nagging girl.
- Live longer, control more wealth, make more per unit time.
- Tombstone basement

Guy can protect self

- Problem courts believe female lies of self-defense

Protect rights and victims

- Contact organizations
- Adversarial process

Biography

Lawyer with experience in civil litigation, investigations, and general corporate matters. You meet the worst kind of people in this profession—other lawyers.

J.D. from George Washington University with high honors, and yes judges are often arrogant, ignorant of the law, and ideologically corrupt.

After law school worked in the Department of Treasury IRS division, an institutionally corrupt organization.

Left to work as an associate for Cravath, Swaine & Moore.

Received an MBA in finance from Columbia University Business School with honors, yes I understand the current financial collapse, but didn't see it coming.

Managed the private detective agency Kroll Associates in Russia where virtually anyone with money is a criminal—not unlike Wall Street.

Before law school, wrote stories, field produced the 1980 elections, and did investigations for WABC-TV News and Metromedia TV News, now Fox News, in New York City. Surprisingly, most of the people I ran into in the media were honest back then.

*If you want more biographical information, go to [www.roydenhollander.com](http://www.roydenhollander.com) and click on "Resume."*

## Executive Summary

The Violence Against Women’s Act (“VAWA”) takes the “he said” out of the “he said, she said.”

VAWA eliminates one of the founding principles of this country—procedural due process or in plain English “fairness.”

When the power of government is used against a person, there is a right to fair procedure:

Notice of a proceeding,  
Opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and  
A neutral judge.

VAWA violates the due process rights of two groups of Americans: (1) Americans who date<sup>1</sup> or marry foreigners, and (2) Americans who date or marry Americans, which pretty much includes everyone who’s not a child.

Persons punished under VAWA are usually guys, but every so often an American lady has her rights trampled by it.

(1) Americans who date or marry foreigners:

When an American husband decides to divorce his alien wife within two years of the wedding ceremony, or an American man is dating an alien and decides to break up with her, she can acquire permanent residency and then citizenship by telling Homeland Security that the man abused her.

To determine whether the American guy abused the alien, Homeland Security holds proceedings kept secret from the guy.

In those proceedings, Homeland Security makes fact findings based solely on what the alien, her Feminist advocate, and immigration lawyer say and the evidence they alone provide.

Homeland Security even accepts as “primary evidence” complaints the alien made to local police and temporary restraining orders (“TROs”) she obtained even though a local court has found the citizen innocent of the alien’s accusations of domestic violence.

Homeland Security, however, ignores any local court findings and bases its decision solely on evidence from the alien, so naturally the citizen is found to have committed “battery,” “extreme cruelty,” or “a pattern of violence.”

---

<sup>1</sup> T Visa for alien victim of commercial sex trafficking, U Visa for alien victim of rape, torture, trafficking, incest, domestic violence, sexual assault, abusive sexual conduct, prostitution, sexual exploitation, hostage holding, female genital mutilation, hostage holding, involuntary servitude, kidnapping, false imprisonment, blackmail, extortion, witness tampering, obstruction of justice, perjury.

Under VAWA, Homeland Security has thrown the adversarial process out the window. It's as though your favorite sports team is not told what stadium the championship game is in or the time for its scheduled defeat.

One of the great Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court, Felix Frankfurter said, "Secrecy provides a cloak for the malevolent, the misinformed, the meddlesome, and the corrupt to play the role of informer undetected and uncorrected. Appearances in the dark are apt to look different in the light of day." McGrath, 341 U.S. 123, 172, (Frankfurter J., concurring).

The American man also has no legal way of finding out what Homeland Security decided he did to the alien or how those fact findings are being used by those that have access to them:

1. The alien, her lawyer, and Feminist advocate,
2. Federal agencies that provide benefits to her,
3. State agencies that provide benefits to her,
4. Local agencies that provide benefits to her,
5. Private Feminist agencies that provide benefits to her,
6. Federal law enforcement officials,
7. State law enforcement officials,
8. Local law enforcement officials,
9. Interpol, and
10. Nonprofit, nongovernmental Feminist groups that provide any type of service to immigrants.

For example, Roy Den Hollander and David Brannon, another plaintiff in the federal lawsuit against VAWA, had TROs taken out against them by their alien wives. The TROs were dismissed, but years later when David and Roy separately tried to re-enter the USA, they were detained by Customs because of those dismissed TROs.

In the future David and Roy will re-enter the country across the Rio Grande with all the other illegals.

(2) Americans who date or marry Americans, power of the purse:

VAWA provides hundreds of millions of dollars to state and local courts and law enforcement if they do what the law wants:

- Predominant aggressor polices in 19 states
- No drop prosecutions in 30 states.

VAWA also provides money to state and local courts and law enforcement whose policies violate the Constitution and were enacted by Feminist lobbying efforts.

- Domestic temporary restraining orders ("TRO")
- Mandatory arrest for alleged violation of TRO in 34 states.

VAWA provides hundreds of millions of dollars to Feminist non-profit corporations that frees up private contributions to lobby for laws that discriminate against men and to support political candidates.

## Solution

Educate: [SAVEservices.org](http://SAVEservices.org)

Look to your own back yard and

Demonstrate: Picket your Family court or the judge's house

Civil disobedience: I'm trying to get my rock throwing arm back into the shape it was in during the anti-war days.

## VAWA Case

Clinton Judge rules the Violence Against Women Act doesn't injure American men. Judge Willaim H. Pauley III's decision ignored the democratic and legal standard of fairness, applied the wrong legal test for injury on a dismissal motion, and invented a fact not before the Court. VAWA allows alien females to acquire citizenship by falsely accusing their American husbands, or ex-husbands, of mistreatment. The Federal Government uses proceedings kept secret from the U.S. citizen to find that he committed "battery," "extreme cruelty," or an "overall pattern of violence" even when no violence has occurred.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit denied the appeal. VAWA keeps secret from U.S. citizens the proceedings that find a citizen husband abused his alien wife. Since VAWA secrecy prevented the plaintiffs from finding out what happened in the proceedings or how the behind closed-door fact-findings were used against them, the Second Circuit dismissed their complaint as "speculative." The powerful often use a Catch-22 as a last resort.

U.S. Supreme Court denied the Petition for Certiorari. The case is over, and it's clear that to the courts men just don't count.

## VAWA Critique

### 1. What is the Violence Against Women's Act?

It's a witch hunt against men, only today the witches are doing the hunting—the Feminists. They wrote the Act in 1994, then Sen. Joe Biden passed it.

The Act brings the strong arm of the federal government into personal relationships and provides hundreds of millions of dollars to man-hating Feminist organizations.

It's a massive federal government intervention into the personal relationships of Americans, whether in marriage or dating.

It's like having Uncle Sam and Fanatical Feminists<sup>2</sup> not only in your bedroom, but every room in your house, in your car, and wherever you go with your spouse or girl friend.

---

<sup>2</sup> They pursue their self-righteous, bigoted, and hypocritical belief system to irrational lengths. They're like Nazis or Commies who want to control your thoughts, speech, and actions, even when those actions don't violate legitimate laws.

The Violence Against Women’s Act allows the Federal Government to rule over personal relationships and funnel hundreds of millions of dollars to Feminist groups for indoctrinating government workers with Feminist ideology.

The act was written by various Feminist or Cultural Communist groups, such as NOW, which I refer to as the National Organization of Witches, and the Feminist Majority. The chief sponsor was then Sen. Joe Biden.

The Violence Against Women’s Act simply adopted some of the totalitarian ways of the Communist Party from the trash heap of history to not only control personal romantic relationships but to funnel hundreds of millions of dollars into the pockets of Fanatical Feminists.

Think of it as a mini-bailout compared to what Wall Street got. Only this one started under Clinton, continues to eternity—if you let it, and bankrupts not just your pocketbook, but your heart.

40 years ago, the Fanatical Feminists warned that the “personal is political.” Most people don’t realize that since 1994, they’ve been making good on that warning through the Violence Against Women’s Act.

#### *Understanding the Violence Against Women Act*

The Act should really be called “State Violence Against Men, or the Violence in Aid of Witches Act.”

The way to understand VAWA is to keep in mind that it violates the rights of two groups of Americans: (1) Americans who date or marry foreigners, and (2) Americans who date or marry Americans, which pretty much includes everyone who’s not a child. Think of VAWA as an attempt by the Fanatical Feminists using the federal government to run the most personal part of your life.

The persons punished under VAWA are usually guys, but every so often an American girl has her rights trampled by it.

(1) Americans who date<sup>3</sup> or marry foreigners, violates F/S, D/P, Privacy, E/P:

#### *Secrecy*

If the husband decides to divorce his alien wife within two years of marriage, or an American man is dating an alien and decides to break up, she can acquire permanent residency and then citizenship by telling Homeland Security that the guy abused her.

In the case of an American married to a foreigner, the alien wife will be placed in deportation proceedings unless she accuses the husband of abuse. VAWA allows the alien females to fraudulently gain U.S. citizenship by falsely accusing the American husband of abuse.

---

<sup>3</sup> T Visa for alien victim of commercial sex trafficking, U Vise for alien victim of rape, torture, trafficking, incest, domestic violence, sexual assault, abusive sexual conduct, prostitution, sexual exploitation, hostage holding, female genital mutilation, hostage holding, involuntary servitude, kidnapping, false imprisonment, blackmail, extortion, witness tampering, obstruction of justice, perjury.

And it doesn't matter whether she's a member of Al Qaeda, a Chechen black widow, or Russian mafia prostitute.

To determine whether an American guy abused the alien, Homeland Security holds secret hearings to make findings of fact. The problem is that the hearings are kept secret from the American; he doesn't receive any notice of the proceedings; and if he learns about them, he can't submit evidence on his behalf. The adversarial process is thrown out the window. It's as though your favorite sports team is not told what stadium the champion game is in or the time for its scheduled defeat.

Since the federal government only listens to the alien, her immigration lawyer, and Feminist advocate (the lawyer and advocate are paid for with U.S. tax dollars), the American is found to have committed abuse—battery, extreme cruelty, overall pattern of violence.

One of the great Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court, Felix Frankfurter said, “Secrecy provides a cloak for the malevolent, the misinformed, the meddlesome, and the corrupt to play the role of informer undetected and uncorrected. Appearances in the dark are apt to look different in the light of day.” McGrath, 341 U.S. 123, 172, (Frankfurter J., concurring).

The American, however, can't even find out the specific wrongs Homeland Security decided he committed or how that information is being used by local, state, and federal agencies that provide aliens benefits, or law enforcement agencies (including Interpol) or private Fanatical Feminist organizations. All of those organizations have access to the fact-findings while the one against whom the facts were found—the American—does not.

#### *Overbroad and vague*

Battery includes anything from kissing your alien wife or husband when they don't want to be kissed to felonious physical injury. *See* **61 Fed. Reg. 13,066**.

Extreme cruelty includes the verbal infliction of emotional distress without any physical manifestations, verbal and other acts against third parties, and behaviors, including speech, intended to control and exercise power over your alien wife or husband. Pendleton, Immigration and Nationality Law Handbook, p. 2, n. 5, p. 6, ed. 2001-02.

Overall pattern of violence includes “name calling,” “criticizing, insulting, belittling,” “false accusations,” “blaming,” “ridiculing,” “lying,” “calling [wife] sexually degrading names,” “comments about women's bodies,” “accusing [wife] of having a lover,” “reminding [wife] of her duties,” “threatening to leave [wife],” “calling [wife] to make sure she is okay,” etc. DOJ funded studies: 1999 National Victim Assistance Academy, chap. 8; Family Violence Prevention Fund, Breaking the Silence - Training Manual, pp 55-58 (2006).

#### *Fraudulent local police and court proceedings*

VAWA also encourages fraudulent temporary restraining orders and false police arrests because the documents generated by such are used as primary evidence by Homeland Security that the American committed crimes or civil wrongs against the alien. It does not matter that the state courts find the citizen husband innocent of the charges because Homeland Security will never learn about such, since the American is shut out of the proceedings.

In state criminal proceedings, VAWA secrecy prevents the American from impeaching his wife or girlfriend's criminal accusations by showing she has a motive to lie in order to win permanent residency through VAWA. That violates the 6<sup>th</sup> Amendment right that a criminal

defendant be afforded a full and fair opportunity to cross-examine adverse witnesses in order to show bias or improper motive for their testimony. Youngblood v. W. Va., 547 U.S. 867, 869 (2006); Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963).

In state criminal proceedings against the American husband, the prosecution's key witness is the alien female. Since she knows whether she is using the VAWA process, the prosecution is in possession of that impeachment information and should disclose it to the husband.

Even terrorists have more rights. They get to have a trial in federal court or a military tribunal to determine whether they committed some prohibited act. They appear before those who determine what they did. But there is no adversarial system for American men accused of abuse by an alien female, even if she's illegal, a prostitute, deals in drugs, or evades taxes.

(2) Americans who date or marry Americans, power of the pursue:

VAWA provides hundreds of millions of dollars to state and local courts and law enforcement if they do what the law wants, which is the same as doing what Fanatical Feminists want, since they wrote the law.

VAWA also provides money to state and local courts and law enforcement whose policies violate the Constitution.

VAWA provides hundreds of millions of dollars to Fanatical Feminist non-profit corporations that frees up private contributions to lobby for laws that discriminate against guys and to support political candidates.

*Money for doing what VAWA wants:*

(a) 19 states, including NY, have predominant aggressor policies, violate E/P, probable cause.

Police show up at domestic dispute look around to see who is bigger, stronger, more capable of physical activity—most likely the guy. Then they look at who called 911, who's more fearful or the better actor—most likely the girl. The arrest isn't based on evidence indicating the guy did something wrong but that he's a guy.

The guy could be standing there bleeding from the head, and the girl holding a blood-dripping hammer, yet the police have to arrest the guy or lose money from VAWA.

The arrest information goes into the FBI identification data based that is available to all law enforcement agencies, officials of state and local governments for employment and licensing purposes, and to certain private contractors. 28 C.F.R. §§ 20.21(b)(2)-(3); 20.33(a); 50.12(a). Private detective agencies also obtain the publicly available information that can be accessed on the Internet.

The guy then has to spend lots of money on legal fees, which often bankrupts him, to stay out of jail. Even when the state court finds the guy innocent, he's not going to get the arrest information erased from all the databases that hold it. The alien's lies will always be there to prevent him from obtaining one job or another and forever ruin his reputation, but the federal government doesn't care because he's a man, and men, the ones who created this country, no longer have any rights.



As the U.S. Supreme Court once held: An arrest may impair a person's reputation, and "even to be acquitted may damage one's good name if the community receives the verdict with a wink and chooses to remember [the] defendant as one who ought to be convicted." Michelson v. United States, 335 U.S. 469, 482 (1948).

(b) No drop prosecutions

In 2/3rds of the states, once the man is arrested, the state has to prosecute him. Prosecutors no-longer have the discretion in domestic dispute cases to drop prosecution of the arrested person.

*Money for unconstitutional policies:*

(a) All states have domestic temporary restraining orders (TROs) thanks to the same Feminist who got VAWA passed also got states to pass domestic TRO laws for which VAWA provides funding for their enforcement. Violate F/S, D/P.

A girlfriend or wife, alien or not, simply goes to court cries a few tears, tells a few lies, and presto the court, without notice to the husband or evidence, issues a temporary restraining order against him. The guy is kicked out of the house he bought without procedural due process.

TROs, like arrest records go into an FBI database and the databases of hundreds of private detective agencies, which are available on the Internet.

Historically, TROs were used to prevent an immediate harm before both parties could appear in court.

For example, assume the Feminist next door is clearing trees from her property. Instead of cutting them down, she's using dynamite to blow them up, and parts of the trees are raining down on your property. You politely ask her to stop, but she replies she will not be intimidated by a male chauvinist pig. You jump in your car and go to the court. The clerk sends you before a judge because you are asking for a TRO to put a stop to the immediate damage to your property until there can be a full hearing on the matter.

The judge tells his clerk to try to reach the Feminist. (In domestic dispute cases the judge doesn't bother.) The Feminist doesn't answer her telephone because she's doing psychotropic drugs with her girlfriend and sticking pins in voodoo dolls of every man she ever knew.

The judge issues a TRO directing the Feminist to stop dynamiting and to show up in court, usually seven days later to resolve the dispute. (In domestic dispute cases, it's often two months or more, and the husband is thrown into the street.)

If the Feminist keeps dynamiting during the seven days, then the police, at their discretion, can arrest her, but usually a warning suffices.

(b) 34 states, including NY, require arrests for an alleged TRO violation, violates D/P, probable cause.

With a domestic dispute TRO, the wife or girl friend has a "boyfriend goes to jail whenever I want" card. She can call the police, make up a lie that the husband or boyfriend violated the TRO and the police must throw him in jail.

Or the guy can be stupid enough to send her flowers as a peace offering, and the police must again throw him in jail. He should have saved the flowers for his early grave.

Now he has an arrest record, which goes into the FBI database (available to all law enforcement agencies, officials of state and local governments for employment and licensing) and private detective firms' databases, and will cost him a lot of money to defend against.

*Money freed up for lobbying and political candidates:*

The nonprofit corporations set up by the Fanatical Feminists receive hundreds of millions of federal dollars to train and preach their man-hating dogma to police and court employees. It doesn't matter whether state and local government workers buy into the Feminist doctrine just so long as they do what it requires. Otherwise, the courts and police lose funding from VAWA.

These Feminist nonprofit corporations usually have two sides: one that allegedly does charitable and educational work for which government tax dollars largely pay for, and the other side that lobbies for legislation discriminating against men and for supporting certain political candidates. Since government money goes into supporting the educational side, it frees up private contributions for the political side.

2. Don't we want to stop domestic abuse?

It depends on how you define "domestic abuse" or "domestic violence." If you're just talking about domestic disputes: squabbling, arguments, heart breaks, and tears concerning couples, you're never going to stop that unless you create an Orwellian government like that in 1984, which VAWA is a good step towards doing.

What you want from government are laws and their enforcement that prevents the use of physical violence in domestic situations that causes injury. Under our Constitution that power has always existed in the states and with local police and local courts—not the federal government.

If you're being threatened or battered by a lover, then call the police—not the FBI or Homeland Security. It's the police and local courts responsibility to protect citizens from physical violence used in relationships. And it's the responsibility of the individual being harmed or threatened to call the police and testify in court. When the police show up at a scene of alleged physical violence or threats, they can't read a person's mind. The person in danger has to speak up—that's their responsibility as a member of this society.

We're not dealing with children here. These are adults, and adults have responsibilities under this type of government. Government cannot protect everyone from their own stupidities and fears. The Communists tried that and look at the harm they caused; the lives and hopes and dreams they destroyed.

The government can't protect everybody from everything. If it tries, like the Commies tried, then you have the wholesale violation of rights. What if the lady is lying.

The Act probably causes more violence because if you're going to be blamed for something, you might as well do it. Had I known that the federal government would hold secret hearings to find that I committed battery, extreme cruelty, or a patter of violence, then I would have slapped her upside the head.

### *Example*

Let's say I'm riding the bus and behind me are two young guys speaking in a Middle Eastern language. I become scared that they'll blow up the bus, and I call the police. When the cops show up, let's assume the law requires them to arrest the guys just because I became scared and they're ancestor grew up in a different part of the world than mine. That's the way VAWA works; only the person arrested on the mere say-so of another without any evidence is usually a guy because an accident of nature made him a guy.

### 3. What kind of rights are being violated in the courts today?

(Free Speech) right to think what you will and speak what you think;

(Due Process) to defend yourself when accused of crimes or civil wrongs; to submit evidence in your defense; to have notice that the Government is holding proceedings concerning you; to know specifically what is prohibited, rather than having to guess about it;

(Privacy Act) to have access to Government records concerning you, to challenge the accuracy of those records—when married an alien;

(Equal Protection) not to be discriminated against by the government because of an accident of nature;

(Family Matters) right to marry whom you want, assuming she wants to marry you; to divorce, and make other family related decisions without fear of government retribution;

(Bear Arms) right to own a gun—issuance of permanent restraining order requires surrender of arms;

(Probable Cause) right not to be arrested unless there is more evidence indicating you did something wrong than evidence saying you did not, cannot be arrested based on classifying you as a member of a particular group, such as predominate aggressor laws.

### 4. Why is this happening?

Looks like the Fanatical Feminists want to do away with marriage just as the Communists, Nazis, and Oceania in the novel *1984* wanted to do.

Just like the Nazis and Commies and every other group of tin-pot dictators and followers in history, they want to force their ideology on everyone else. They believe they've discovered the "truth"; otherwise, why call themselves "politically correct." That's as nuts as "religiously correct."

They also want easy money.

With taxpayer dollars, their media and political clout, the Feminists are pretty much getting what they want—a tyranny over men. Madison defined a tyranny as when one group controls the three branches of government. They want a tyranny over men, so they'll be treated like princesses—do what I want, when I want, read my mind before I have to tell you what I want, and be quick about it.

The only difference between the Feminists and princesses is that princesses believe in looking good.

### 5. How can men be the ones who are suffering?

(a) American men dating or married to alien females.

In the case where an American man dates or marries an alien female, the Federal Government in deciding whether the man did something wrong or committed a crime does so without the using the adversarial process. It's all kept secret from the American husband.

The reason for this part of VAWA is to create an *in terrorem* effect to keep guys from looking overseas for mates. After all, from 1900 to 1950 the male/female ratio in the U.S. was above 100%, but since 1950, it's been in the 90% category.

(b) American men dating or married to American females.

Because the funding that is provided to local courts and police is dependent on Fanatical Feminist tenets, they dare not value a man's word to the same extent as they value a female's. They refuse to believe what the man says; thereby, assuring further funding under VAWA.

VAWA also requires Fanatical Feminist organizations to train local courts and law enforcement into doing what the law or the Feminists want. They warn that if you do your job their way, then you won't have any problems. But if you do your job consistent with the Constitution, then you'll have problems. The training is also accompanied by indoctrination that men are dangerous and need to be contained and punished because they are men.

6. Aren't females the ones who need protection from men?

Don't sell girls short. They've been doing better than holding their own since the hunting and gathering days.

Females are masters at using the intentional infliction of emotional distress to get what they want. Ever try dealing with a crying or nagging girl friend?

Females live 5-7 years longer than men, control a greater percentage of the nation's wealth, the nation spends more money on them for health care, and they serve less time for the same crimes.

In the 25 most dangerous occupations in America, men make up 90% of the workers. It's called the "Tombstone Basement." Over all occupations, guys suffer 95% of the job related deaths. 95% of the low risk jobs are filled with females.

Look at the past casualties of war, health, industrial accidents, the Titanic: 74% of the females survived, 20% of the men.

Sir William Blackstone said in the 1700s "Women are the favorites of the law."

In 1865, Mary Harris went on trial for murdering her former fiancée: When Mary's fiancée broke off the engagement and married another female; Mary followed him to D.C. and shot him dead in the corridor of the Treasury Building. After a 12-day trial in which she pleaded "not guilty by reason of paroxysmal insanity" caused by the menstrual cycle, Mary was acquitted. The New York Times editorialized, "the verdict only furnishes a new illustration of what must be regarded as a settled principle in American Law—that any woman who considers herself aggrieved in any way by a member of the opposite sex, may kill him with impunity...."

7. I've seen reports of females initiating as much domestic violence as males, but let's be real, guys can protect themselves from a female, right?

Assuming she's not driving a Mercedes Benz or feeding you poison, you're right. Even if she's wheedling a gun, so long as it's not a shotgun, I wouldn't be too worried.

The problem isn't their use of physical violence, you'll never stop that, but that when a female uses a weapon to cause physical injury, the courts believe the female's lie about self-defense. Even if the guy is still alive, the courts will believe her. Judges have essentially taken the "he said" out of the "he said, she said." Once again, thanks to the Fanatical Feminists and VAWA.

The Courts are predominantly biased against guys. For example, when the judge asked the prostitute at what point she realized she had been raped, the prostitute answered, "When the check bounced." "Guilty," the judge declared.

8. How can we correct these civil liberty infringements and still protect the victims of domestic violence?

The way to not only protect the victims of domestic violence but those falsely accused of such is by simply using what the common law has used for hundreds of years to get at the truth: the adversarial system where both sides present their evidence to an impartial judge—not one steeped in Fanatical Feminism. It's called due process or fairness and is one of the key reasons why so many men have died in so many wars, since 1776.

As former Supreme Court Justice Jackson said, "The framers of the Constitution did not trust any government to separate the true from the false for us." That's up to the adversarial process. Thomas v. Collins, 323 U.S. 516, 545 (1945)(Jackson, J. concurring).

Educate yourself, lobby Congress, and demonstrate. Or as Frederick Douglas said, "agitate, agitate, agitate." Look in your own backyard. You probably know someone who has a Family court judge trample his rights. Picket the court or the judge's house with his or her name written on your placards.

There are a few organizations that provide information on the abuse of VAWA and how to change it. All are on the Internet, just search their names:

SAVEServices.org—education,  
Voice of American Immigration Fraud Victims—lobbying,  
National Coalition of Free Men—personal battle.

If anyone is interested in the trilogy of anti-feminist cases I brought in federal court they can go to my website: [www.roydenhollander.com](http://www.roydenhollander.com), all one word.

After my experiences in federal court, I prefer Lincoln's advice: "The people of the U.S. are the rightful masters of both Congress and the Courts, not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the persons who pervert the Constitution."

Whenever government becomes abusive to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, then it is the obligation of the people to remove it.

9. How successful have you been in attempting to defend men's rights?

I'm 0 for 3 in class actions fighting for men's rights, I feel like I'm back in Little League baseball.

10. Why is that?

Can't beat the devil in the devil's own court, but you can show she's the devil.

It's a modern day witch-hunt—only today the witches are doing the hunting.

I learned in my anti-war days that you can't use establishment institutions to prevent establishment abuses, but you can use them to heighten the contradictions. Today the Establishment is a Feminarchy: the unitary belief system held by a sufficient number of influential persons in this society such that the ideology of Fanatical Feminism dominates over other beliefs in the political, governmental, academic, media, and social spheres.

Many of today's judges are afraid that criticism from the Feminist establishment will harm their careers or they'll lose their membership in the Effete Eastern Intellectual Elite.

And many judges actually believe that people who dissent from the popular opinions of Feminism and political correctness do not have rights. It's the old plantation mentality. If you're not part of the majority—you don't deserve rights.

11. Are you angry?

I boil through my days and some of my nights. The answer is yes, but at least I'm in touch with my feelings.

The federal government has 700 pages about me that contain findings of fact Homeland Security made about me committing certain evil deeds. I don't know what they are and can't find out. Would that make you angry?